WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

## ODDED OF DETENTION DENDING TOLAL

	V.	UKD	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
R	amon Enriquez-Hurtado	Case Number:	09-6086M	
present and w			was held on February 13, 2009. Defendant was vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
		DINGS OF FACT		
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:			
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the Unite	a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the charged	e defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history	•		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear	in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law er	forcement contact by f	leeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of		years imprisonment.	
The C at the time of t	the hearing in this matter, except as noted in	ndings of the Pretrial S the record. CLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Court	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant No condition or combination of conditions DIRECTIONS	will flee. will reasonably assure REGARDING DETEN		
a corrections for appeal. The document of the United States defended to the corrections of the corrections o	facility separate, to the extent practicable, fror defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opp States or on request of an attorney for the Go the United States Marshal for the purpose of	n persons awaiting or s ortunity for private cons vernment, the person i	wher designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court n charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.  EASE	

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District

Court. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 17<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2009.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge